Program Viability Audit April, 2003

Campus: School/College:

## Department Profile Sample

August, 2006

August, 2006											
Campus: Campus Na School/College: COLLEGE O Department: Departmen	F ARTS & SCIENCES										
Tier 1 - Direct and A Tier 2 - Tier 1 plus Tier 3 - Tier 2 plus	ed on UM School/College Cost Study (FY2004) llocated Primary Program Costs less Total I Allocated Student Aid & Support Costs less Campus Support Costs less Total Income (T3 System Overhead & Depreciation less Total I School/College Fu	Total Income Subsidy) ncome (T4 Subs	(T2 Subsidy	\$ \$	\$610,441 L,055,060 L,677,186 L,823,093 \$402						
-	Delaware Costs & Productivity (FY2004): Direct Instructional Costs per SCH: Costs Less Gross Student Fees per SCH: tructional Costs Covered by Gross Fees:	\$395 \$125 68%	Total: Total:	\$2,098,57 \$664,18							
Departm School/Co Fall Research/Service per	Data By Discipline (FY2004) Unit ental Average Cost per SCH: \$395 llege Average Cost per SCH: \$185 SCH per Total Faculty FTE: 112 Ranked Regular Faculty FTE: \$65,330	237	Median) P	Percent of No 165 47 32	20						
Departmental Information: FY2006 Full-Time Rank	ed Regular Faculty: 15 Percent Tenured: 73%										
	SCH FY01 FY02 FY03 FY04 FY05 5-YR	FY01 FY		rees FY04 FY0	5 5-YR	FS00	FS01	N FS02	Majors FS03	FS04	5-YR
Department Total Percent Service	5,107 5,109 5,495 5,607 5,792 13% 70% 71% 72% 75% 73%	30	36 39	20 3	L 3%	160	138	141	128	159	( 1%)
Program	Program Degree										
Program Name	BACHELOR OF ARTS BACHELOR OF SCIENCE MASTER OF SCIENCE DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY MINOR	4 11 11 4	9 13 10 10 9 11 8 5 	6 1·	7 75% 5 (45%) 4 27% 4 0%	27 69 26 38	26 60 18 29 5	31 60 18 32	24 53 23 28	25 85 18 31	( 7%) 23% ( 31%) ( 18%)

Providing Accountability Through Data and Process – The Program Audit  $\underline{2}$ 

Departmental Information			FS1998 FS1999	F82000 F82001 F82002	5 Yr Chunge
FTF Farally	-		Konked Regular	C. Sec.	17.05-20.75-19.2
			Persent Control Full Lime Southegrau		1476
151462069%			Adjunct		8/19 12/8/ 17.2. 
	Majoři 👙	Program	Liegree		
15 10 -33%		PROGRAM ONE	BACHELOR OF SCIENCE		15 15 11
4 5		**************************************	DOCTOR OF PHILOSOP	iΣ	.0 3 6
	O C MINING AND AND A MARK		CONTRACTOR	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Shine 44 Shine 387
	PROGRAM THREE	MINOR BACHELOR OF ART		58 47,	- 00000/00 3 models 0.000000 
· · · ·		MASTER OF ARTS MINOR		a	.L. 26
·	Total			478. 538.	614 639m im588aw dw22
5 Yr 30				111230 1220 1220 1220 12	ENGINE AND THE AND THE ADDRESS
	Student Cryslic Hours				
205 e% 305 •3%		Kanked Regular Full Jime Son-Re	nta	4,408 4,41	
170 - 925		Adjunct		5,443 8,08	6 F0,425 10,841 12,727
Present	Service Hours Orightate/Professional Hours	11% 7% 0% 0%		129	
	Cinciliana Provinsional Produc	104 104	va 15 - 15	10.1 m 10.00	
en	Degree			Cali. Min	e: Degrine Awards Prog
RAM ONE	BACHELORS	2	5 5 3	1 4 -20% ***	PROC
DITI TANJANANAN MANAN	MASTERS		** e		и -додук, мак — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —
PROGRAM THREE	BACHELORS MASTERS				6 20% *** 4 33%
			35 32 4	7 57 78 9	6 _ 100%.
e het Oppe Brenk genet. internet Wichelens - 10, Dettern - 5, D	wtrend (Trillingiona - S		Judicates desres recara	n. on average, swarded less than th	a CRHE minimum number of degraph in t
Stady Uning-Delawary Data					UMER-DOG 7
	L. Micheles States (1993) Sei Drei harshari Maria (1993)	-3. 99799305 1955			
· Occipient Calif (19/2010)	population and an average	ing and the second s			Defamilitation
and a family of a sub-		50	Dec Regains D'US		
		£950	990 Recent Gerta		
	UMERa Lonio Rinkoni Median	500 500	912) (1872) - 225 - 522 - 115/25 - 322		
	Seperand Webseril Median	628	97 EX 26		
CITATION NAMES AND A STREET AND A	a First Frida	Koniza.			Tig Concypanti State
		Replice Really			
	Text Strive Statistican 1975 : Strive Statistican	L382			
	744 Decil; Sinds 19532 Loto Figure	76 163	100		
	SHEGH				
CHANNESS AND AND AND A		10 Kentre	Division Million- Anather Stateller		OMPSompasi
	S Servicement HULLER Loop	41.0	25 .02		
	— 8 Vertie Simper (BHAvergetti) — Siler Distant Averge	17200			
	Dividities (VongSki) Avenuel IM (A Seconder on a Statistical Avenuel IM (A		975 - 247 919 - 107 - 29		
	2 Sit Army Firster		947		
Report Fills				1143/2006/0118783	
Page 17501			(%)(jini)	Computer Version of HIVA Add Titles	1

October, 2003

Program Visibility Audit

Campus: School/College: Department:

5

1

X

Providing Accountability Through Data and Process – The Program Audit 3

## Cost Study Full Cost of Academic Divisions, Colleges, and Schools

- <u>Purpose</u>: To determine for each academic division, college, and school on each of the four campuses:
  - 1. The historical full cost of the unit
  - 2. The full cost of instruction and research/public service in the unit
  - 3. The unit's average cost per credit hour by student level
  - 4. The amount of income attributable to the unit
  - 5. The amount of student aid by unit
- <u>Scope</u>: Operations and Continuing Education Funds (PS funds 0000,0405,0445,0450)
- <u>Goal</u>: To appropriately and accurately match costs (inputs), revenues (outputs), and student credit hours (outputs) by academic college/school/division (cost center).
- <u>General Methodology</u>
  - 1. <u>Designate specific cost centers.</u> Cost centers were identified as the academic colleges, schools, and divisions plus a community service cost center not associated with an academic division. All costs, direct and indirect, were attributed to these cost centers.
  - 2. <u>Identify consistent categories of cost.</u> Costs were categorized primarily by program classification. Scholarship expense includes all expenditures classified as student aid (regardless of PCS) and/or scholarship PCS codes.
  - 3. <u>Use tier costing methods to further categorize and allocated costs</u>. Support units were full-costed to the extent possible before they were allocated to the academic cost centers. Specific cost allocation procedures were employed to allocated costs and are described below.
  - 4. <u>Development of Unit Costs.</u> Once all costs had been identified and assigned to appropriate cost centers, unit costs were developed. The primary measure was instructional costs per credit hour. Using weighted credit hours by student level, costs were spread to student levels and unit costs by level were determined for each academic cost center. Costs per student were developed for selected professional programs.
- <u>Allocation of Costs to Cost Centers</u>
  - 1. Direct expenditures budgeted in and controlled by a cost center were allocated directly to each cost center. This included both primary program and support costs.
  - 2. Primary program expenditures not budgeted in a cost center, but identified with or assignable to a cost center were allocated by type of expense in the following ways:
    - Primary program expenditures identified with a specific cost center(s) were allocated directly to the cost center(s).
    - Remaining on-campus instruction expenditures were allocated based on relative credit hours generated by a cost center.

- Remaining research expenditures were allocated based on relative expenditures for research by each cost center.
- All community education and community service expenditures (PCS 1.3 and 3.2) were allocated to the community service pseudo-cost center.
- 3. Scholarship and fellowship expenditures were allocated to the academic cost centers.
  - Student aid expenses directly identified with a cost center were allocated to the cost center.
  - All other student aid expenses were allocated to cost centers based on the credit hours generated by the cost center, by student level and residency.
- 4. System administration overhead and depreciation were allocated to cost centers, campus overhead units, and UM Extension.
  - System administration and university-wide overhead expenditures were prorated based on relative expenditures for tiers (cost categories or levels) one through three.
  - Depreciation expense for capital assets, per the financial statements, was allocated based on relative expenditures for tiers (levels) one through three.
- 5. Campus depreciation, per the financial statements, was allocated to the cost centers and campus support units based on assignable square footage of physical plant space.
- 6. Operation and maintenance of physical plant expenses were allocated to the cost centers and campus support units based on assignable square footage of physical plant space.
- 7. Full costs for institutional support, student services, and academic support activities were allocated to the cost centers in three different ways by type of support function.
  - Institutional support costs were allocated to the cost centers based on the relative expenses for direct costs and allocated primary program costs less any direct expenditures for institutional support of plant O&M in the cost centers.
  - Student service support costs were allocated based on the proportion of headcount majors of the academic cost centers.
  - Academic support expenditures were divided into three categories: academic administration, library expenditures, and other academic support activities.
    - Academic administration costs were allocated to the cost centers based on their relative expenditures for primary programs; direct and allocated.
    - Library expenditures were allocated on the basis of headcount majors and teaching and research faculty.
    - All other academic support costs were allocated on the basis of headcount majors.
- <u>Allocation of Full Costs Between Primary Programs.</u> Full costs for the academic cost centers were allocated into two categories: full cost of instruction and full cost of research/public service activities.
  - Full Cost of Instruction

- Direct and allocated instruction expenditures plus their proportionate share of system overhead and depreciation
- Direct and allocated expenditures for student aid, student services, and other academic support activities, plus their proportionate share of system overhead and depreciation
- Costs for libraries, academic administration, institutional support, plant operations and maintenance, and campus depreciation were assumed to benefit all primary programs and were allocated in the same proportion as primary program costs.
- Full Cost of Research/Public Service activities
  - Direct and allocated research and public service expenditures plus their proportionate share of system overhead and depreciation
  - Costs for libraries, academic administration, institutional support, plant operations and maintenance, and campus depreciation were allocated in the same proportion as primary program costs.
- <u>Unit Cost Calculations</u>
  - Instructional cost per credit hour produced
  - Weighted costs were developed to determine the relative cost by student level.
  - Weights of 1.0, 3.0, 4.5, and 7.0 were assigned to undergraduate, masters, first professional, and doctoral credit hours respectively.
- Income Calculations
  - Tuition was calculated based on the student credit hours generated by level, by residency for most academic units.
  - Instructional computing fees as reported in the financial statements were allocated in proportion to the student credit hours generated by the unit.
  - All other fees and other income that could be identified with an academic unit were allocated to that academic unit.
  - The income described above is the gross income generated by the unit.
  - Student aid is subtracted from the gross income to determine net income generated by the academic unit. This net income can then be compared to the costs of the unit (at different cost allocation tiers) to determine the campus subsidy to the unit.
- <u>Student Aid Calculations.</u> Student aid is made up of three component pa01 TcGurotherm0.005 TD007