# IFC Meeting Minutes October 9, 2018

#### 1. Academic HRMarsha Fischer

- x Status update of the HR Taskforces
- x Presentation of the proposed new retirement plafor-existing employeesnothing changes this is only for new employees
  - o Going as an informational item to the Board of CuratoNsovember
  - o Contributions + Investment Income = Benefit Payments
  - o Benefits include:
    - f Ensuringsustainability
    - f Strive for equity
    - f Continue to offer a retirement benefit to attract others
  - o Total Rewards Advisory Committemesearch in the market
    - f Blended plan for current employees is difficult to understand and th marketplace
    - f You can invest more but it will not be matched above 8%
    - o How to ensure them that their pension will be funded when they reach retir
      - f Protected under law
    - o Whatdo you need from IFC?
      - f Pressure testing to ensure if it sounds reasonable
      - f BOC to see what they thinkhope to go in February for a vote
      - The control of the co
      - f What is the average expectation of the benefit amount?
        - x People available to meet and give projections at HR and Fig

f Can presentations be given at each university at faculty senate/cou

- o HR is currently enting advice on if existing employees can switch to this
- x Feedback and discussion regarding the Employer of Choice elements
- x Leadership training for faculty/chairs/deans

- x Up to \$3 million in savings per year systemale
- x Will facultyNOTbe pushed to choose Cengage productsoffers a good product that will save students considerable money
- x Includes the supports and instructor ancillary materials (customization can be done)
- x Incentives for professors to publish with Cengagething in contract
- x How does it fit with open accessore additional piece

Χ

- Need to look at P&T as alternative paths to receive full professor e.g., outstanding teaching that would be best looking at CR&R
- o IFC agreed to let the taskforce work on this and come back with a report for review and input.
- o Will add one faculty member from each campus to the working group
- o Three categories: Newly tenured, tenured f@ **7**ears in associate role, associate processor for many years and not interested in going to full

### 6. Promotion & Tenure

- x Are the issues the same at other universitias identified in MU's report
  - o With different paths should we look at revising CR&R
  - o More comprehensive about all the things faculty do
  - Look at P&T guidelineFC should drive the guideline, they may have recommendations, CR&R
  - o Let faculty through IFC lead tR&Tdiscussion
  - o Specific issues
- x Alternative pathways to full professor
- x Valued for the role that they play
- x Professions have changedeed to define what we mean by innovation in teaching
- x Talk further about this at the November meeting
  - o What is the charge of this group?
  - Membership of the taskforce

## 7. Non-tenure Track Faculty Committee

- x Items left to address
- x Rolling contracts still in works
- x Ann felt someprogresswas madebut not a lot
- x Decision is out of IFC and in the UMAO
- x Can't promise 3 year but it is stuck
- x Talking about this on Thursday
- x Best practices instead of being prescriptive
- x Membership of the taskforce

# 8. Future agenda items

- x myVita
- x Promotion & Tenure (45 minutes)
- x NTT